

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 10, October 2016

# A Study on Chemical Analysis of Water Hyacinth (*Eichornia crassipes*), Water Lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*)

Sivasankari. B<sup>\*</sup>, David Ravindran.A

Department of Biology, Gandhigram Rural Institute-Deemed University, Gandhigram, Dindigul,

Tamilnadu, India

**ABSTRACT:** In the present study the plant materials of water hyacinth (*Eichornia crassipes*) and water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*) were collected from Aranmanai Kulam Dindigul (Dt), Tamilnadu, India, and carefully brought into the laboratory. Then the fresh and dry weight of whole plant, leafs, stems and roots of water hyacinth and water lettuce were analyzed. After that the Carbon, Nitrogen, Cellulose, Hemicellulose, Lignin, Protein, Lipid, Ash and Calorific value were also analyzed. The results indicated that the Carbon, Nitrogen, Cellulose, Hemicellulose, Lignin, Protein, Lipid, Ash and Calorific value were significantly higher in, leafs, stems and roots of water hyacinth than in leaves and roots of water lettuce.

KEYWORDS: Water hyacinth (Eichornia crassipes), Water lettuce (Pistia stratiotes) and Chemical Composition

## I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, a substantial hike in the fossil fuel prices due to the rapid depletion of natural energy sources and human population explosion has kindled immense public cognizance towards global energy security (Hendriks and Zeeman, 2009) [1]. Factors like global warming, environmental considerations and sustainable growth are encouraging scientists to explore low cost, environment friendly alternative energy sources (Merino-Pérez et al., 2015) [2]. In a forage for sustainable replacement of fossil fuels, lignocellulosic biomass derived biofuel can be an alternative renewable energy. Their major advantages are abundant availability, sustainability, recyclability, carbon neutrality and absence of 'food vs. fuel' competition (Ganguly et al., 2013) [3]. However, water hyacinth (*Eichornia crassipes*) and water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*) may also be a sustainable resource for biofuel production because of its abundance, low cost and availability. Biofuel production from water hyacinth and water lettuce greatly depends on the disruption of its complex lignocellulosic structure, which is recalcitrant to biodegradation. The organic components of lignocellulosic structure mainly contains of cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin (Hendriks and Zeeman, 2009) [4]. (Mishima et al., 2006) [5]. In addition to the production of biofuel there are several other advantages to harvesting of aquatic weeds. Removal of plant biomass from the waterways will lead todecreases in the organic carbon load to the anaerobic bottom waters and sediments of the reservoirs and therefore decrease the uncontrolled release of methane from the surface of the water storage (Dalal et al ., 2008) [6].

### II. METHODOLOGY

### **Collection of Plant materials:**

Plant materials of water hyacinth (*Eichornia crassipes*) and water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*) were collected from Aranmanai Kulam Dindigul (Dt), Tamilnadu, India.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 5, Issue 10, October 2016

#### Analysis of different parameters:

Fresh weight and Dry weight of whole plant, leaves, stems and roots of water hyacinth (*Eichornia crassipes*) and water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*) were analysed using standard methods.

#### Analysis of Chemical compositions:

The chemical compositions of dried and pulverized biomass of water hyacinth and water lettuce, which was heated at  $105^{\circ}$ C for 3 h to get the constant dried weight, were measured (Yang, 1999) [7]. Total organic carbon (%) Potassium dichromate oxidation method Walkley and Black (1947) [8] Total nitrogen (%) Micro-Kjeldhal method Tandon (1993) [9]. The cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin contents were measured in a Foss Fibertec 2010 hot extractor. The protein content was measures on a Foss Kjeltec 2300 analyzer unit. The lipid content was determined with the ether-extraction method on Socsplus-SCS 04 R. The dried biomass of water hyacinth and water lettuce was heated and burned at  $800^{\circ}$ C for 2h and residual weight was measured as the ash content. The calorific value of the dried biomass of water hyacinth was determined on bomb calorimeter.

#### **Statistical Analysis:**

The following statistical tools were used for the analyses and interpretation of the data. The experimental results are presented in the form of Tables using Microsoft Excel (Version 2003 and 2007). Mean and Standard Deviation were also calculated with the help of the same tool.

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In the present study the plant materials of water hyacinth (*Eichornia crassipes*) and water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*) were collected and the fresh and dry weight of whole plant, leafs, stems and roots of water hyacinth and water lettuce were analyzed. After that the Carbon, Nitrogen, Cellulose, Hemicellulose, Lignin, Protein, Lipid, Ash and Calorific value were also analyzed. The results are given in Tables (1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6). Similar kind of works were done by (Cathryn et al., 2010; AO Xia et al., 2013; Abdel-Fattah and Abdel-Naby, 2012; Richen Lin et al., 2015; Das et al., 2015; Fan Xu et al., 2016 and Mishima et al., 2008) [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16].

#### Table 1. Fresh weight of whole plant, leaves, stems and roots of water hyacinth (Eichornia crassipes)

S.No	Fresh weight of the			
	whole plant(g)	Icaves	stems	10013
1.	33 ±0.15	$17 \pm 0.44$	5 ±0.55	11 ±0.99
2.	41 ±0.13	22 ±0.45	6 ±0.52	13 ±0.92
3.	30 ±0.14	14 ±0.43	6 ±0.61	10 ±0.89
4.	31 ±0.20	15 ±0.32	6 ±0.65	10 ±0.72
5.	32 ±0.23	16 ±0.33	5 ±0.43	11 ±0.90
6.	38 ±0.34	21 ±0.21	7 ±0.56	10 ±0.98
7.	35 ±0.33	20 ±0.22	7 ±0.62	$8\pm0.88$
8.	34 ±0.35	$18 \pm 0.15$	7 ±0.57	9 ±0.89
9.	29 ±0.41	15 ±0.32	6 ±0.71	8±0.79
10.	30 ±0.25	16±0.40	6 ±0.75	8 ±0.72



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 5, Issue 10, October 2016

Table 2. Dry weight of whole plant, leaves, stems and roots of water hyacinth (Eichornia crassipes)

S.No	Dry weight of the whole	Dry weight of the leaves	Dry weight of the stems	Dry weight of the
	plant(g)			roots
1.	3.1 ±0.56	$1.7 \pm 0.88$	0.7 ±0.77	1.1 ±0.66
2.	4.0 ±0.55	$2.2 \pm 0.89$	0.7 ±0.78	1.3 ±0.69
3.	3.0 ±0.57	$1.4 \pm 0.78$	0.6 ±0.69	$1.0 \pm 0.60$
4.	3.0 ±0.67	$1.6 \pm 0.76$	0.6 ±0.68	1.0 ±0.65
5.	3.1 ±0.69	1.7 ±0.77	0.5 ±0.69	1.1 ±0.61
6.	3.8 ±0.89	2.1 ±0.89	0.7 ±0.64	1.0 ±0.59
7.	3.3 ±0.88	$2.0 \pm 0.59$	0.9 ±0.67	$0.8 \pm 0.66$
8.	3.2 ±0.45	$1.8 \pm 0.58$	0.9 ±0.66	0.9 ±0.71
9.	2.5 ±0.49	1.9 ±0.87	0.6 ±0.61	$0.8 \pm 0.76$
10.	3.0 ±0.50	$1.6 \pm 0.80$	$0.6 \pm 0.70$	0.8 ±0.75

Table 5. Fresh weight of whole plant, leaves, stellis and roots of water reduce (risku shakotes	Table 3	3. Fresh	weight o	of whole pl	lant, leaves	, stems and	roots of	water l	ettuce	Pistia :	stratiotes
---	---------	----------	----------	-------------	--------------	-------------	----------	---------	--------	----------	------------

S.No	Fresh weight of the	Fresh weight of the	Fresh weight of the
	whole plant(g)	leaves	roots
1.	$30 \pm 0.55$	20 ±0.87	10 ±0.80
2.	31 ±0.33	22 ±0.53.	11 ±0.33
3.	30 ±0.31	20 ±0.45	10 ±0.35
4.	31 ±0.29	21 ±0.15	10 ±0.49
5.	32 ±0.19	13 ±0.49	10 ±0.47
6.	37 ±0.20	25 ±0.33	12 ±0.86
7.	35 ±0.35	22 ±0.59	13 ±0.39
8.	33 ±0.48	21 ±0.60	12 ±0.49
9.	28±0.54	20 ±0.15	8±0.50
10.	30±0.49	19±0.39	11 ±0.55

Table 4. Dry weight of whole plant, leaves, stems and roots of water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*)

S.No	Dry weight of the whole	Dry weight of the leaves	Dry weight of the
	plant(g)		roots
1.	3.0 ±0.19	$2.0 \pm 0.89$	$1.0 \pm 0.55$
2.	3.1 ±0.30	2.1 ±0.79	1.2 ±0.57
3.	3.0 ±0.77	$2.0 \pm 0.88$	1.0 ±0.69
4.	3.1 ±0.99	2.1 ±0.60	1.0 ±0.71
5.	3.2 ±0.95	$1.1 \pm 0.66$	1.2 ±0.69
6.	3.7 ±0.47	2.5 ±0.70	1.2 ±0.39
7.	3.5 ±0.80	2.1 ±0.81	$1.4 \pm 0.47$
8.	3.3 ±0.55	2.1 ±0.30	1.2 ±0.99
9.	2.8 ±0.59	$2.0 \pm 0.49$	0.8 ±0.79
10.	3.0 ±0.77	$1.7 \pm 0.57$	1.3 ±0.39



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 10, October 2016

 Table 5.Chemical analysis of the dried biomass of water hyacinth (*Eichornia crassipes*)

S.No	Compositions (%) dried weight)	Leaves	Stems	Roots
1.	Carbon	30.33	29.55	27.22
2.	Nitrogen	0.3	0.2	0.1
3.	Cellulose	29.86	29.33	18.11
4.	Hemicellulose	31.81	28.35	16.23
5.	Lignin	5.49	18.36	15.67
6.	Protein	21.97	7.70	3.33
7.	Lipid	1.93	0.98	0.65
8.	Ash	13.10	21.20	50.11
9.	Calorific value (KJ/g-DW)	15.33	14.55	9.25

Table 6. Chemical analysis of the dried biomass of water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*)

S.No	<b>Compositions (%) dried weight)</b>	Leaves	Roots
1.	Carbon	27.35	25.55
2.	Nitrogen	0.28	0.2
3.	Cellulose	27.55	16.11
4.	Hemicellulose	29.71	14.23
5.	Lignin	3.47	13.57
6.	Protein	19.77	1.43
7.	Lipid	0.73	0.35
8.	Ash	11.10	30.11
9.	Calorific value (KJ/g-DW)	13.31	7.23

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

Water hyacinth and water lettuce currently growing in waterways represent a significant waste stream, which is currently either killed with herbicides or biological agents and allowed to degrade within water storages or removed for disposal in land fill at considerable cost. These experiments show that these two macrophytes can be readily degraded under anaerobic condition to yield significant quantities of hydrogen. Anaerobic digestion provides a feasible technology to harness the chemical energy stored in these weeds. Water hyacinth and water lettuce were evaluated in this study as substrates for Biohydrogen production. It can be concluded that aquatic plants are a promising biomass for Biohydrogen will play a major role in future because it can utilize renewable sources of energy.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are cordially thankful to the Department of Biology, Gandhigram Rural Institute-Deemed University, Gandhigram for providing all essential facilities. The authors gratefully acknowledge Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi for the financial support in the form of Women Scientist Fellowship scheme (Disha-SoRF-PM-WOS-B).

#### REFERENCES

Hendriks, A.T., Zeeman, G. 2009. Pretreatments to enhance the digestibility of lignocellulosic biomass. Bioresour. Technol. 100:10–18.
 Merino-Pérez.O, Martínez-Palou R., Labidi, J. and Luque.R. 2015. Microwave-assisted Pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass to produce biofuels and value-added products, Production of biofuels and chemicals with microwave, Springer, Netherlands. 197–224.

<sup>[3]</sup> Ganguly, A., Das, S., Bhattacharya, A., Dey, A. and Chatterjee P.K. 2013. Enzymatic hydrolysis of water hyacinth biomass for the production of ethanol: Optimization of driving parameters, Indian J. Exp. Biol. 51: 556–566.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

### Vol. 5, Issue 10, October 2016

[4] Rosillo-Calle, F. and Hall, D. 1987. Brazilian alcohol: food versus fuel Biomass.12: 97-128.

[5] Mishima, D., Tateda, M., Ike, M. and Fujita, M., 2006. Comparative study on chemical pretreatments to accelerate enzymatic hydrolysis of aquatic macrophyte biomass used in water purification processes. Bioresource. Technol. 97:2166-2172.

[6] Dalal, R.C., Allen, D.E., Livesley, S.J., Richards, G., 2008. Magnitude and biophysical regulators of methane emission and consumption in the Australian agricultural, forest, and submerged landscapes: a review. Plant and soil. 309:43-76.

[7] Yang, S. 1999. Analysis and measuring technology of feed quality Beijing: Beijing Agricultural University Press: (In Chineese).

[8] Walkley, A. and Black, I.A. 1947. Oxidizable matter by chromic acid with sulphuric acid heat of dilution. Soil.Sci 63. Pp. 251.

[9] Tandon, H.Z. 1993. Mehods of analysis of soils, plants, waters and fertilizers. Fertilizer development and Consultation organization, New Delhi, India. Pp. 148.

[10] Cathryn O' Sullivan, Beth Rounsefell, Alistair Grinham, William Clarke and James Udy .2010. Anaerobic digestion of harvested aquatic weeds: Water hyacinth (*Eichornia crassipes*), cabomba (*Cabomba Caroliniana*) and salvinia (*Salvinia molesta*). Ecological Engineering. 36:1459-1468.

[11] Ao Xia, Jun Cheng, Wenlu Song, Cong Yu, Junhu Zhou and Kefa Cen. 2013. Enhancing enzymatic saccharification of water hyacinth through microwave heating with dilute acid pretreatment for biomass energy utilization Energy Energy. 61 : 158-166

[12] Ahmed F. Abdel-Fattah. and Moahmed A. Abdel-Naby. 2012. Pretreatment and enzymic saccharification of water hyacinth cellulose Carbohydrate Polymers. 87:2109-2113

[13] Richen Lin, Jun Cheng, Wenlu Song, Lingkan Ding, Binfei Xie, Junhu Zhou, Kefa Cen. 2012. Pretreatment and enzymic saccharification of water hyacinth cellulose Carbohydrate Polymers. 87: 2109–2113

[14] Das, S., Bhattacharya, A., Haldar, S., Ganguly Sai Gu, A., Ting, Y.P. and Chatterjee, P.K. 2015. Optimization of enzymatic saccharification of water hyacinth biomass for bio-ethanol: Comparison between artificial neural network and response surface methodology Sustainable Materials and Technologies. 3 : 17–28

15. Fan Xu, Li Che, Aili Wang, Zongcheng Yan. 2016.. Influence of surfactant-free ionic liquid microemulsions pretreatment on the composition, structure and enzymatic hydrolysis of water hyacinth Bioresource Technology. 208 : 19–23

16.Mishma, D., Kuniki, M., Sei, K., Soda, S., Ike, and Fujita. M. 2008. Ethanol production from candidate energy crops: Water hyacinth (*Eichornia crassipes*) and water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes* L.). Bioresource Technology. 99:2495-2500